## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Confirmation No.: 7447

Ange LUPPI et al. Date: February 7, 2011

Serial No.: 10/593,211 Group Art Unit: 3671

Filed: October 15, 2007 Examiner: Tara Mayo-Pinnock

## For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR STARTING UP A PIPELINE

## VIA EFS-WEB

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Interview Summary mailed January 25, 2011 describing the Interview of January 18, 2011, Applicant agrees with the Examiner's Interview Summary. However, Applicant notes that no Interview was conducted as noted in the Summary.

The purpose of permitting Interviews with the Examiner is so that Applicant may discuss present and proposed claims and claim amendments with the Examiner, so that each might learn the other's point of view, and both may discuss the claims. Written submissions and arguments, in contrast do not permit a simultaneous discussion of both points of view and possible resolution. Written submissions merely restate each person's position.

The Interview Summary states that a telephonic Interview will not be granted "as the Examiner is not convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration". It appears that the Examiner has made a decision before the Interview and therefore refuses the Interview. Further Applicant did not, nor did the Examiner propose "nominal" further consideration, a term without definition, but in the context of what was written, suggests providing substantially no consideration. Applicant does not want "nominal" consideration. Applicant wants adequate consideration.

In support of seeking adequate consideration, Applicant has filed a Request for Continued Examination. The Examiner has dismissed Applicant's amendment of claim 8

01214369.1 -1-

because the arguments are already of record. Without arguments being of record, there would be nothing to discuss.

The Applicants would like a full and fair opportunity to present their position, present their claim amendments, have the full consideration to which Applicants should be entitled and for which the Interview and Written Response procedure is provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING SYSTEM ON FEBRUARY 7, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322 OSTROLENK FABER LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:laa